AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:

Applicants submit herewith two (2) sheets of replacement drawings, Fig. 2 and 3A-3C. The amendments to the drawings are as follows:

Reference signs for items 100, 102, 104, 106, 108 have been added to Figure 2.

The Screen Matrix Memory **106** is shown as described in the specification at page 12, lines 16-17 in Figure 2.

The spelling of "increasing" has been corrected in Figures 3A and 3B.

Attachment: Two (2) Replacement Sheets
Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

REMARKS

The Examiner objected to the drawings for failing to show reference signs, failing to show the screen matrix memory as described in the specification, and for misspelling "increasing". The attached replacement drawings make the changes requested by the objections.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-18 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,844,941. In response to this, a Terminal Disclaimer is filed in conjunction with this application.

The filing of the Terminal Disclaimer overcomes the obviousness-type double patenting rejection and renders claims 1-18 in accordance for allowance.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons detailed above, it is respectfully submitted all claims remaining in the application (Claims 1-18) are now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

January 12, 2006
Date

Ann M. Skerry, Reg. No. 45,655
1100 Superior Avenue, Seventh Floor
Cleveland, OH 44114-2579
216-861-5582

Attachments

N:\XERZ\200432\ssk0000050V001.doc

APPENDIX

Attached, please find two (2) old drawings sheets with the corrections made, two (2) replacement drawing sheets and a Terminal Disclaimer.

FIG. 2

FIG. 3a

FIG. 3b

FIG. 3c